International Journal of Geosynthetics and Ground Engineering (2023) 9:55
https://doi.org/10.1007/s40891-023-00477-4

EDITORIAL

Guest Editorial for the Special Issue on "Recent Advances in Ground Engineering"

Arya Assadi-Langroudi[1] · Kemal Önder Çetin[2] · Nilo Cesar Consoli[3] · Abdullah Ekinci[4] · Pedro Miguel Vaz Ferreira[5]

Accepted: 28 July 2023 / Published online: 7 August 2023
© The Author(s), under exclusive licence to Springer Nature Switzerland AG 2023

The built environment heavily relies on the performance and stability of its underpinning geotechnical structures. The ageing of existing geotechnical structures alongside with the rapidly changing climatic patterns has, however, imposed a risk to their performance and continued to financially strain taxpayers for their upkeep, maintenance, and in cases replacement. Emerging extreme climates is a trigger to a range of potentially problematic soil behaviours, including inter alia, fatigue, fracturing, and strain-softening; dust efflux, redeposition and formation of new airfall loose soils; evolving microstructures and emergence of uncertain structured-based mechanical behaviours; mineralisation, dissolution, reprecipitation and recrystallisation; fines migration and subsequent flow, instability and strain-softening; erosion; metastability and collapsibility; structural changes leading to anisotropy, thixotropy and sensitivity; and contamination and transition of natural soils into anthroposol (man-made) soils in urban settings.

The ground in its natural form has the capacity to adapt and respond to the evolving environmental stresses, to self-heal, self-form, and self-produce, and to re-establish disrupted functions. Such capabilities can be either facilitated through the provision of the right circumstances or disrupted. These capabilities are intertwined with soil naturally open packing, and its multiple ecosystem functional traits, including biological diversity and population regulation, carbon and nutrient regulation and cycling processes, good crop and food, good water and air, good flora and fauna, good water fluxes, and resilience to climate shocks and extreme weathers. Conventional groundwork techniques, by virtue, disrupt the natural packing and are designed to transform the natural soil into a less-permeable, stronger, and stiffer medium through compressing or filling the voids with inert or active chemicals, as well as hydraulic alterations. That continuum then causes similar bias in implementation methods and analytical models. In recent years, biomimicry and nature-inspired solutions have been attracting interest and count as a viable, ambitious emerging alternative.

Following the Second Nature-Inspired Solutions for the built Environment workshop (NiSE2), generously sponsored by the UCCTEA Chamber of Civil Engineers Cyprus, in September 2022, we decided to publish the contributions to the workshop and those we received afterwards in a special issue here after following the journal standard review process. The articles within this special issue revolve around three themes: recent advances in (i) 3Ms: models, materials, and methods, (ii) technologies and implementation, and (iii) risks, management, and governance.

✉ Arya Assadi-Langroudi
A.Assadilangroudi@uel.ac.uk

Kemal Önder Çetin
ocetin@metu.edu.tr

Nilo Cesar Consoli
consoli@ufrgs.br

Abdullah Ekinci
ekincia@metu.edu.tr

Pedro Miguel Vaz Ferreira
p.ferreira@ucl.ac.uk

[1] Department of Engineering and Construction, University of East London, 4-6 University Way, London E16 2RD, UK

[2] Civil Engineering Department, Middle East Technical University, Universiteler Mah. Dumlupınar Bulv, 06800 Çankaya/Ankara, Turkey

[3] Graduate Programme in Civil Engineering, Federal University of Rio Grande Do Sul Av. Osvaldo Aranha, 99 CEP, Porto Alegre 90035-190, Brazil

[4] Middle East Technical University, Middle East Technical University, Civil Engineering ProgramNorthern Cyprus Campusvia Mersin 10, Kalkanli, Guzelyurt, North Cyprus, Turkey

[5] Department of Civil, Environmental and Geomatic Engineering, University College London, Gower Street, London WC1E 6BT, UK

Machine learning (ML) has become a vehicle for analysis of big divergent data, as well as small uncertain data. It provides new avenues for managing uncertainties and variabilities in design. Omar et al. [1] report on application of five nature-inspired ML techniques in determination of bearing capacity of reinforced soils. Among these and as an example, the 'Ensemble Tree' technique is drawn from the concept of Ensemble Learning which draws inspiration from the idea of "wisdom of the crowd" or the principle that aggregating the opinions of a group of individuals often leads to better decisions than relying on a single individual's judgment. This phenomenon is observed in various biological systems, such as the behaviour of groups of animals in nature, where collective intelligence allows them to make more accurate decisions and increase their chances of survival. Collico et al. [2] report on deployment of the Bayesian statistics to chemical stabilisation of sands with polyurethane and acrylate grouts. The statistical technique draws inspiration from Bayes' theorem—a fundamental theorem in probability theory. While the Bayesian approach itself is not directly derived from observations in nature, it is based on Bayesian probability theory, which has its roots in the work of Reverend Thomas Bayes, an eighteenth-century mathematician and theologian. Thakur et al. [3] report on a programme of large-scale pile uplift test and utilisation of a Taguchi method to relax the number of experiments from an initial high due to the range of variables and their inter-relations. While the Taguchi method itself is not nature-inspired, the broader concept of using optimization techniques to improve performance and reduce variability can be related to principles found in nature. In nature, organisms often exhibit characteristics and behaviours that have been shaped by evolution to optimize their survival and reproduction under different environmental conditions.

As with materials, contributions here address upcycled, biogenic, and bio-inspired materials. Haider et al. [4] report on the use of upcycled polyethylene terephthalate alongside cement for stabilisation of silts. They touch on novel concepts including idealised void ratios and evolution of small strain stiffness. Scopes for using Waste Foundry Sand (WFS) alongside cement for stabilisation of lateritic soils are presented by Naik et al. [5]. Ilman and Balkis [6] report implications of applying glass powder and macro-silica to clays. Both materials count as upcycled industrial wastes. As for biogenic materials, Ilman and Balkis [7] review the advances made with biopolymers as binder for soil.

To mark advances with methods, select contributions in this special issue address the subject of scale (in experimentation). Bacic and Herle [8] present the use of a pore water pressure (PWP) tester, a simplified cyclic shear strength test for rapid evaluation of steady states in saturated sands. On the other side of the spectrum, semi-full-scale testing is a reasonable compromise in the light of technical and financial obstacles in front of field full-scale simulations. Esmatkhah Irani et al. [9] present their work on semi–full-scale shaking table experiments to examine the concept of 'rocking', or premeditated failure of soil to ease the seismic actions on structure. This is an interesting work, revolving around the idea of failure of a component in favour of grand system's eventual survival. Sahin et al. [10] present their findings from a programme of dynamic cone penetration (DPT) and MASW geophysical survey to examine the liquefaction mechanisms in gravely soils. The methods here are detecting a problem that otherwise is deemed unlikely in gravelly soils.

Finally, two works are truly cross-cutting. First, Tohidvand et al. [11] present a peculiar testing regime to study sands mixed with flexible polypropylene fibers (the like of which one can see in sands reinforced with plant root systems). They use an automatic Direct Simple Shear (DSS) apparatus to simulate various strain paths. Second work is that of Dindar and Alevkayali [12]. This article bridges the three themes of the workshop: GIS and NASW methods are utilised to generate data that are analysed via two nature-inspired Machine Learning models, leading to mapping of landslide risk across a Cypriot mountain system.

Acknowledgements This Special Issue could not have been completed without the support of the reviewers, who provided timely and constructive comments, and particularly the efforts of the Editor-in-Chief of the International Journal of Geosynthetics and Ground Engineering, Dr. Sanjay Kumar Shukla. The Guest Editors extend their sincere gratitude to them and thank the authors for their high-quality contributions.

References

1. Omar M, Alotaibi E, Arab MG et al (2023) Harnessing nature-inspired soft computing for reinforced soil bearing capacity prediction: a neuro-nomograph approach for efficient design. Int J of Geosynth and Ground Eng. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40891-023-00472-9
2. Collico S, Spagnoli G, Tintelnot G (2023) Statistical analysis of grouted tertiary sands with acrylate and polyurethane. Int J of Geosynth and Ground Eng. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40891-023-00457-8
3. Thakur T, Chaudhary V, Uday KV (2023) Multiparameter experimental study on the factor contributions of micropile uplift capacity using taguchi approach. Int J of Geosynth and Ground Eng. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40891-023-00468-5
4. Haider AB, Iravanian A, Selman MH et al (2023) Using waste PET shreds for soil stabilization: efficiency and durability assessment. Int J of Geosynth and Ground Eng. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40891-023-00473-8
5. Naik PA, Marathe S, Akhila S et al (2023) Properties of WFS incorporated cement stabilized lateritic soil subgrades for rural

pavement applications. Int J of Geosynth and Ground Eng. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40891-023-00460-z
6. Ilman B, Balkis AP (2023) Mechanical properties of clays stabilized with glass powder and silica fume. Int J of Geosynth and Ground Eng. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40891-023-00463-w
7. Ilman B, Balkis AP (2023) Review on biopolymer binders as renewable, sustainable stabilizers for soils. Int J of Geosynth and Ground Eng. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40891-023-00470-x
8. Baćić B, Herle I (2023) Density-dependent pore water pressure evolution in a simplified cyclic shear test. Int J of Geosynth and Ground Eng. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40891-023-00469-4
9. Esmatkhah Irani A, Bonab MH, Sarand FB et al (2023) Overall improvement of seismic resilience by rocking foundation and trade-off implications. Int J of Geosynth and Ground Eng. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40891-023-00454-x
10. Sahin A, Cetin KO (2023) Gravelly liquefaction case histories after 2008 wenchuan-china earthquake Mw = 79. Int J of Geosynth and Ground Eng. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40891-023-00456-9
11. Tohidvand HR, Maleki Tabrizi E, Esmatkhah Irani A et al (2023) Effects of the fiber reinforcement on the monotonic behavior of sands considering coupled volumetric-shear strain paths. Int J of Geosynth and Ground Eng 9:39. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40891-023-00462-x
12. Dindar H, Alevkayalı Ç (2023) Determination of GIS-based landslide susceptibility and ground dynamics with geophysical measurements and machine learning algorithms. Int J of Geosynth and Ground Eng. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40891-023-00471-w

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.